



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,872	11/14/2003	Matthias Eberhard Sohn	11884/405801	1867
26646	7590	07/02/2007	EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			VU, TUAN A	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2193		
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		07/02/2007		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/713,872	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SOHN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Tuan A. Vu	<b>Art Unit</b> 2193

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tuan A. Vu. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mark Yuan. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 26 June 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendments to claim 1 were analyzed during the interview; and it was established that the outset of the claim (e.g. its preamble) does not particularly narrow to the very end result subsequent to the steps taken or recited; that is, creation of an extended repository of application metadata as well as providing repository of source files to support the internal functionality (via use of compiled code) of the metadata repository. It was also mentioned that the elements recited as M1, M2, M3 are to be expressed in terms of specific concrete entities with functionality that interrelate (e.g. how the templates play their roles w/r to making use of those meta-languages) with one another in order to yield script constructs or source files; that is, the recited elements clearly establish cause or effect relationship needed to put forth the purpose of the claimed framework, while obviating the use of broad terminology or piecemeal step action that would otherwise lead to no clear statutory application usefulness. .